	Application No.	No. Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/756,924	MISHIMA, NOBUHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sherali Ishrat	2624	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Sherali Ishrat</u> .	(3)		
(2) William C Rowland.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 18 August 2006	Time: <u>1;00 pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 26-27			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since the	e substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appro	priate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that claims 1-7, 10, 24 and 26 are allowable over prior art of record as argued by the Applicant in the response dated 4/01/2004. However claims 8-9, 11-23, 25 and 27 are also presented which were previously canceled by an examiner's amendment. Applicant's Attorney replied that he will provide a supplement amendment to overcome the problem with previous examiner's amendment